Space Law & Military Operations





Space operational law – now and the foreseeable future

- Weapons and the use of force in space
 - Relevant treaty provisions
- THE FUTURE???





- Well established since 1967
- Relevant law (except, perhaps, the ABM Treaty) is unlikely to change
- Technological advances and changed circumstances may change its application
- But, most space operational law should remain the same





- Space has a legal regime
- It addresses military, weapons, and use of force in space
 - But, it's neither de-weaponized nor de-militarized
- Cornerstone: Outer Space Treaty of 1967



- Cold War
- Broad, general principles
- Res communis
 - "province of all mankind" [Art I]
 - "free for exploration and use by all States" [Art I]



Outer Space Treaty (cont'd)

Preamble--

"Recognizing the common interest of all mankind in the progress of the exploration and use of outer space for *peaceful purposes....*" (Emphasis added)



Outer Space Treaty (cont'd)

Peaceful = Non-Aggressive





A legal regime --

"in accordance with international law, including the Charter of the United Nations, in the interest of maintaining international peace and security" [Art III]





- UN Charter
 - Refrain from threat or use of aggressive force [Art 2(4)]
 - UNSC -- Threat to peace, breach of peace, acts of aggression [Art 39]
 - UNSC -- Authorized use of force [Art42]
 - Inherent right of self-defense [Art 51]
 - Anticipatory self-defense





- Self-defense (DoDD 3100.10, para 4.2.1)
 - Purposeful interference with US space systems
 - Infringement on our sovereign rights
 - May take all appropriate self-defense measures including, if directed by NCA, the use of force



Outer Space Treaty (cont'd)

- Weapons [Art IV]
 - Moon and other celestial bodies
 - Earth orbit
- What is a "weapon of mass destruction"?
- ASATs are not per se prohibited
- Tactical denial vs. kinetic kill





For example, ABM Treaty of 1972 –

"Each Party [U.S. & Russia] undertakes not to interfere with the national technical means of verification of the other Party...." [Art XII (2)]



Weapons and Force in Space

Bottom Line --

- Space has a legal regime
- But, it's neither de-militarized nor de-weaponized



- Demise of ABM Treaty???
 - Threat from proliferation of WMD and delivery vehicles?
- Technological advances
 - Remote sensing increasingly available
 - New space vehicles? (Manned?)
 - ASATs; advanced laser weapons
 - Computer network attacks



- "FAA" or "ICAO" for space?
 - Co-location issues
 - Mandatory? Voluntary?



- Most space operational law has been well established since 1967
- It's unlikely to change within foreseeable future—except, perhaps, the ABM Treaty
- Technological advances and changed circumstances may change its application
- But, most space operational law should remain the same





QUESTIONS???